

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/975,902 10/12/2001		Steven L. Fogle	10016278 -1	1158
7590 04/28/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER CAO, CHUN	
			2115	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/975,902	FOGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Cao	2115	

	Chan Cao	2110			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,11-16 and 18-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Naito, US patent no. 5,875,345, clearly teaches of monit mouse] in the computer system for message indicative or the computer system.	oring operating system messages	[data_input relating to keyboard or			
prior detailed office action. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((DTO/SB/09 or DTO 4440) Do	vlo(a)			
13. Other:	1 10/00/00 01 F10-1449) Faper I	10(3)			
		CHUN CAO			
		ilh su			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief